

This article is dedicated to Guido Zappa, the sweet (grand-?) father of Italian Algebra and Geometry, on occasion of his 90-th birthday<sup>1</sup>.

## SURFACE CLASSIFICATION AND LOCAL AND GLOBAL FUNDAMENTAL GROUPS, I .

FABRIZIO CATANESE UNIVERSITÄT BAYREUTH

**ABSTRACT.** Given a smooth complex surface  $S$ , and a compact connected global normal crossings divisor  $D = \cup_i D_i$ , we consider the local fundamental group  $\pi_1(T \setminus D)$ , where  $T$  is a good tubular neighbourhood of  $D$ .

One has an exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \Gamma := \pi_1(T - D) \rightarrow \Pi := \pi_1(D) \rightarrow 1$ , and the kernel  $\mathcal{K}$  is normally generated by geometric loops  $\gamma_i$  around the curve  $D_i$ . Among the main results, which are strong generalizations of a well known theorem of Mumford, is the nontriviality of  $\gamma_i$  in  $\Gamma = \pi_1(T - D)$ , provided all the curves  $D_i$  of genus zero have selfintersection  $D_i^2 \leq -2$  (in particular this holds if the canonical divisor  $K_S$  is nef on  $D$ ), and under the technical assumption that the dual graph of  $D$  is a tree.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In his first mathematical paper [Mu61] David Mumford solved the conjecture of Abhyankar showing that, over the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ , a normal singular point  $P$  of an algebraic surface  $X$  is indeed a smooth point if and only if it is topologically simple : more precisely, if and only if the local fundamental group  $\pi_{1, \text{loc}}(X, P)$  is trivial.

He derived from this result the interesting Corollary that the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{X,P}$  of a normal singular point is factorial if and only if either  $P$  is a smooth point, or  $\pi_{1, \text{loc}}(X, P)$  is the binary icosahedral group, and the singularity is then analytically isomorphic to

$$\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^3 | z^2 + x^3 + y^5 = 0\}$$

(a shorter independent proof of this corollary was later found by Shepherd-Barron, cf. [S-B99]: this proof is similar in spirit to the one by Lipman in [Lip69])

Since the local fundamental group is the fundamental group of  $U - \{P\}$  where  $U$  is a good neighbourhood of  $P$  in  $X$ , Mumford considered the minimal normal crossings resolution of the singularity, and derived the above theorem from the following.

Let  $D = \cup_i D_i$  be a compact connected normal crossings divisor on a smooth algebraic surface  $S$ , such that the intersection matrix  $(D_i \cdot D_j)$  is negative definite : then the local fundamental group around  $D$ , i.e., the fundamental group  $\Gamma := \pi_1(T - D)$  where  $T$  is a good tubular neighbourhood of  $D$ , is trivial if and only if  $D$  is an exceptional divisor of the first kind (i.e.,  $D$  is obtained

---

<sup>1</sup>The research of the author was performed in the realm of the EAGER EEC, and of the Schwerpunkt 'Globale Methoden in der komplexen Geometrie'.

*Date:* January 5, 2006.

by successive blowing ups starting from a smooth point of another algebraic surface).

Our purpose here is threefold:

1) first, we want to show that the theorem has more to do with a basic concept appearing in surface classification rather than with singularities; i.e., that the crucial hypothesis is not that the matrix  $(D_i \cdot D_j)$  be negative definite, but that the canonical divisor  $K_S$  of  $S$  be nef on  $D$  (this happens for a minimal model of a nonruled algebraic surface). For the nonexpert: the condition that  $K_S$  be nef on  $D$  means that, if  $g_i = \text{genus of the smooth curve } D_i$ , then for each  $i$  it holds:  $2g_i - 2 \geq D_i^2$ .

As a matter of fact, this condition will only be needed for the curves  $D_i$  of genus zero, for which it reads out as  $D_i^2 \leq -2$ .

2) Second, since the structure of the group  $\pi_1(D)$  is very well understood and there is an obvious surjection  $\Gamma = \pi_1(T - D) \rightarrow \Pi := \pi_1(D)$ , we want to study in general how big is the kernel  $\mathcal{K}$  of this surjection. Then the result is that under the above nefness hypothesis each standard generator of  $\mathcal{K}$ , i.e., each simple loop  $\gamma_j$  around a component  $D_j$ , is nontrivial in  $\pi_1(T - D)$ .

More precisely, we would like to show that, outside of a well described family of exceptions, this generator  $\gamma_j$  has infinite order.

It is rather clear that, in order to have a very simple formulation, the hypothesis that  $K_S$  be nef on  $D$  is necessary.

In fact, if we let  $D$  be a line in  $\mathbf{P}^2$ , the local fundamental group around  $D$  is trivial and we have  $K_{\mathbf{P}^2}D = -3$ ; similarly happens if we take a  $(-1)$ -curve (a smooth rational curve with self intersection  $= -1$ , hence a curve with  $K_S D = -1$ ).

A slightly more complicated example, obtained by blowing up the central point of a string of 4  $(-2)$ -rational curves, shows that the local fundamental group may be nontrivial, yet some  $\gamma_i$  may be trivial, if we do not use the nefness assumption.

The simplest results we have in the direction explained above are the following theorems A, B, C.

Among these, the following theorem A is, as already said, the simplest one to be stated:

**Theorem 1. (Weak Plumbing Theorem A).**

*Let  $D = \cup_i D_i$  be a connected compact (global) normal crossings divisor on a smooth complex surface  $S$ .*

*Assume further that the dual graph  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $D$  is a tree.*

*Let  $\Sigma$  be the boundary of a good tubular neighbourhood  $T$  of  $D$ ,  $T = \cup_i T_i$ .*

*The generator  $\gamma_i$  of the kernel  $\cong \mathbb{Z}$  of  $\pi_1(T_i - D_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(D_i)$  has a nontrivial image in  $\pi_1(\Sigma) \cong \pi_1(T - D)$  if it holds true the stronger assumption that the canonical divisor  $K_S$  of the surface  $S$  is nef on the components of  $D$  of genus 0, i.e.,  $K_S D_i \geq 0$  for each  $i$  such that  $D_i$  has genus zero.*

**Remark 1.** *Please observe that we do not need  $S$  to be compact: this hypothesis would entail, by the Index Theorem, that the positivity index of the matrix  $(D_i \cdot D_j)$  be  $\leq 1$ .*

*Therefore, our result concerns all the 3-manifolds  $\Sigma$  which are boundaries of complex surfaces obtained by plumbing smooth compact complex curves.*

More generally, holds the more precise

**Theorem 2. (Strong Plumbing Theorem B).**

*Let  $D = \cup_i D_i$  be a connected compact (global) normal crossings divisor on a smooth complex surface  $S$ .*

*Assume further that the dual graph  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $D$  is a tree.*

*Let  $\Sigma$  be the boundary of a good tubular neighbourhood  $T$  of  $D$ ,  $T = \cup_i T_i$ . Then the generator  $\gamma_i$  of the kernel  $\cong \mathbb{Z}$  of  $\pi_1(T_i - D_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(D_i)$  has a non-trivial image in  $\Gamma := \pi_1(\Sigma) \cong \pi_1(T - D)$  if*

*i)  $D$  is minimal, i.e., it is not obtained by blowing up a (global) normal crossings divisor  $D'$  and moreover either*

*ii-1) after successively blowing down all the rational  $(-1)$ -curves we get a divisor  $D'$  contained in a smooth complex surface  $S'$  and such that  $K_{S'}$  is nef on the components  $D'_i$  corresponding to a  $D_i$  of genus zero, or*

*ii-2) if  $D_i$  has genus zero, then its self intersection is negative.*

3) Our motivation for studying these questions came from the study of topological characterizations of the existence of fibrations on algebraic surfaces, especially in the noncompact case, where (cf. [Cat00]) one has to consider the fundamental group at infinity, which is a disjoint union of local fundamental groups  $\pi_1(T - D)$ .

The goal is to get new and simpler variants of the characterizations of the Zariski open sets which are the complement of a union of fibres of a fibration containing all the singular fibres. These were given in [Cat00], theorem 5.7, for constant moduli fibrations, and in [Cat03], theorem 6.4, in the general case.

Indeed, in these theorems there is one condition pertaining the fundamental group at infinity, namely that, given a certain group homomorphism, each  $\gamma_i$  maps to a certain element of infinite order.

So, a natural question is: when does each  $\gamma_i$  have infinite order in  $\pi_1(T - D)$ ?

We have some partial result concerning this question, which we hope to be able to improve in the future

**Theorem 3. ( Plumbing Theorem C).**

*Let  $D = \cup_i D_i$  be a connected compact (global) normal crossings divisor on a smooth complex surface  $S$  satisfying the assumptions of the previous Theorem A, (we want again for instance that the dual graph  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $D$  is a tree).*

*Define  $D$  to be **elementary infinite** if either*

*1)  $\mathcal{G}$  is a linear tree and there is a curve of positive genus, or*

*2)  $D$  is a **comb** (i.e.,  $\mathcal{G}$  contains only one vertex of valency 3) and there is a curve of positive genus, or all curves are of genus 0, but we are not in the exceptional cases  $Va$ ) and  $Vb$ ).*

*Let  $\Sigma$ ,  $\Gamma$ ,  $\gamma_i$  be as in the previous theorems: then each  $\gamma_i$  has infinite order in  $\Gamma$  if there is a sequence of moves, consisting in successively removing curves  $D_i$  which intersect two or more other curves, such that in the end one is left with a bunch of disjoint elementary infinite pieces.*

Actually, since it can happen that the normal crossing configuration be not minimal, it would be certainly interesting to give necessary and sufficient general conditions also for the nontriviality of each  $\gamma_i$  (this might be very complicated, we fear).

For the applications mentioned above, however, we need to treat the general case and we may not restrict ourselves to the situation where the dual graph is a tree, which is treated in this article.

As a matter of fact, at some point we thought we could easily reduce the case where the dual graph is not a tree to the difficult case where we have a tree: but about five years ago, when we were writing up a first version of the article, we realized that this reduction argument was not correct.

One reason why we want now to write down here the tree case, is because this article owes much to Guido Zappa. When I started to think about these questions, I received a kind letter of Zappa, which was somehow related to my election as a corresponding member of the Accademia dei Lincei, and it was only natural to ask him some question in combinatorial group theory. Zappa not only answered, providing a result which is included in the article (cf. proposition 4), but he was very kind to continue to read and answer my letters.

Thus this article is particularly appropriate for this special volume of the Rendiconti Lincei, dedicated to Guido Zappa. I am indebted to him, to his wife Giuseppina Casadio and also to Antonio Rosati for orienting my choice towards mathematics. Giuseppina Casadio ran some afternoon seminars in the Liceo Ginnasio 'Michelangelo' in the last year of my (classical studies) high-school. There I learnt such basic things as, for instance, congruences, and I was encouraged to take part into the Mathesis competitions first and the mathematical Olympics later. Rosati incited me over the summer to read parts of Courant and Robbins' book 'What is mathematics', and to apply for admission to the Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa.

In Pisa the education was very analysis oriented, but later on in my life I discovered in myself something of an algebraist's soul which was longing to learn more.

For this part of my soul Zappa was a reference figure, and I was later quite happy to have finally a chance, during the Meetings of the Accademia, to discuss mathematical questions with him.

Another reason to write this article now is to take up the problem again, with the hope of finding soon the solution to the general case, and, even more, to propose the further investigation of these three-manifolds fundamental groups.

For instance, other general interesting questions are in our opinion:

- 1) how big is the kernel  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\pi_1(T \setminus D) \rightarrow \pi_1(D)$  ?

2) What properties does  $\mathcal{K}$  enjoy, when is it for instance not finitely generated (cf. [Cat03], definition 3.1 and lemma 3.4)?

## 2. A PRESENTATION OF THE LOCAL FUNDAMENTAL GROUP

Let us first of all set up the notation for our problem.

We have  $S$  a smooth complex surface, and a compact connected global normal crossings divisor  $D = \cup_i D_i$  contained in  $S$ , thus each  $D_i$  is a smooth curve of genus  $g_i$  and has a good tubular neighbourhood  $T_i$  which is a 2-disk bundle over  $D_i$ .

$T_i \setminus D_i$  is homotopically equivalent to its boundary  $\Sigma_i$ , which is an  $S^1$ -bundle over the compact Riemann surface  $D_i$ , and is completely classified by its Chern class, i.e., by the self-intersection number of  $D_i$  in  $S$ , as we are going to briefly recall.

Let us denote by  $m_i$  the opposite of the self intersection number of  $D_i$ , so that we have  $D_i^2 = -m_i$ .

Let now  $q$  be a point of  $D_i$ : then the bundle  $\Sigma_i \rightarrow D_i$  is trivial over  $D_i \setminus \{q\}$ , and also over a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $q$ . The respective trivializations are clear if we identify topologically the associated line bundle as the line bundle corresponding to the divisor  $-m_i q$ .

Since  $(D_i - q) \cap V$  is homotopically equivalent to  $S^1$ , and the glueing map on  $S^1 \times S^1$  reads out ( we choose the trivialization over  $D_i \setminus \{q\}$  in the source, and the one over  $V$  in the target)

$$(z, w) \rightarrow (z, z^{-m_i} w),$$

from the I van Kampen Theorem (cf. e.g. [DeRham69]) we derive a presentation for the fundamental group of  $\Sigma_i$ , which determines the central extension

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\gamma_i \rightarrow \pi_1(\Sigma_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(D_i) \rightarrow 1$$

provided by the homotopy exact sequence of the  $S^1$ -bundle.

In fact, in the inverse image of  $D_i \setminus \{q\}$ ,  $\cong D_i \setminus \{q\} \times S^1$  we take the lifts of some standard generators of the free group  $\pi_1(D_i - q)$ , we use for these lifts the usual notation  $a_1(i), b_1(i), \dots, a_{g_i}(i), b_{g_i}(i)$  (recall that  $g_i$  is the genus of  $D_i$ ), and moreover we let  $\gamma_i$  be the generator of the fundamental group of the fibre  $S^1$ , with the standard complex counterclockwise orientation.

Since the fundamental group of a Cartesian product is a direct product, it follows, as already mentioned, that  $\gamma_i$  commutes with all other generators.

From the glueing map we get the single further relation :

$$\prod_{h=1, \dots, g_i} [a_h(i), b_h(i)] = \gamma_i^{-m_i}.$$

If we take now a good tubular neighbourhood  $T$  of  $D$  which is the union of the  $T_i$ 's, we may assume moreover ( by shrinking the  $T_i$ 's, and by the implicit function theorem), that the intersection  $T_i \cap T_j$  be biholomorphic to

$$\{(z_1, z_2) \mid |z_1 z_2| \leq 1, |z_i| \leq 2\},$$

where  $z_1 = 0, z_2 = 0$ , are the respective local equations of  $T_i, T_j$ , at the point  $p_{ij} := D_i \cap D_j$ .

In each  $D_i$  let us consider a path  $L_i$  homeomorphic to a segment and going through all the points  $p_{ij}$  and let us mark a point  $q_i \in L_i$  different from all the  $p_{ij}$ 's.

We may easily assume that we get thus a linear tree  $L_i$  with the above points as vertices.

Set  $L = \cup_i L_i$ , thus  $L$  is naturally a graph.

It is important to notice that  $\Sigma$  has a natural projection onto  $D$ , such that outside the points  $p_{ij}$  we have a fibre bundle with fibre  $S^1$ , whereas the fibre over  $p_{ij}$  is  $\cong S^1 \times S^1$ .

In fact, the local picture is given by

$$T_i \cap T_j = \{(z_1, z_2) \mid |z_1 z_2| \leq 1, |z_i| \leq 2\},$$

thus locally

$$\Sigma = \{(z_1, z_2) \mid |z_1 z_2| = 1, |z_i| \leq 2\} \cong S^1 \times S^1 \times [1/2, 2],$$

where the homeomorphism is given by the map sending  $(z_1, z_2)$  to

$$(z_1/|z_1|, z_2/|z_2|, |z_1|).$$

The projection sends  $S^1 \times S^1 \times \{1\}$  to  $(0, 0)$ , whereas e.g. the observation that

$S^1 \times S^1 \times [1/2, 1)$  is an  $S^1$ -bundle over  $S^1 \times [1/2, 1) \cong$  punctured disk in the  $z_2$  plane, allows to define the projection for  $|z_2| \geq 1$  as sending  $(z_1, z_2) \rightarrow (0, z_2(|z_2| - 1))$ , and symmetrically for  $|z_1| \geq 1$ .

It is quite easy to see then that we can find a section of  $\Sigma|_L \rightarrow |L$ , so we think of  $L$  as  $\subset \Sigma|_L$ .

Since the restriction of the fibration  $\Sigma_i \rightarrow D_i$  to  $L_i$  is trivial, we obtain that, up to homotopical equivalence,  $\Sigma|_L \rightarrow L$  is obtained from the manifolds  $L_i^0 \times S^1$  ( $L_i^0$  being a tubular neighbourhood of  $L_i$  in  $D_i$ ) as follows.

We replace the product  $B_{ij}^2 \times S^1$  ( $B_{ij}^2$  being an open 2-dimensional ball around  $p_{ij}$  in  $D_i$ ) by a product  $A_{ij}^2 \times S^1$  ( $A_{ij}^2$  being a 2-dimensional annulus around  $p_{ij}$  in  $D_i$ ,  $A_{ij}^2 \cong S^1 \times [1/2, 1)$ ).

Then we glue together the pieces  $A_{ij}^2 \times S^1$  and  $A_{ji}^2 \times S^1$  identifying the (inner) boundaries  $S^1 \times S^1$ .

We make now another arbitrary choice for our presentation, namely, since the graph  $L$  is connected, we may take a connected subtree  $L' \subset L$  containing all the points  $q_i$ .

We let one of them, say  $q_0$ , be the base point: for each  $q_i$  we get a canonical path in  $L'$  from  $q_0$  to  $q_i$ , whence a canonical basis of  $\pi_1(L)$  is given by the loops  $\lambda_{ij}$ , for  $p_{ij}$  not  $\in L'$ , obtained going from  $q_0$  to  $q_i$  along the canonical

path, then going to  $p_{ij}$  inside  $L_i$ , then to  $q_j$  inside  $L_j$ , then back to  $q_0$  again along the canonical path.

The above description makes it clear that, exchanging the role of the two indices  $i, j$ , we get  $\lambda_{ji} = \lambda_{ij}^{-1}$ .

Let  $\gamma_i$  be the positively oriented generator of the infinite cyclic fundamental group of  $(L_i^0 \times S^1) \cup L'$ : then we find immediately the following presentation for the fundamental group of  $\Sigma$  restricted to  $L^0$  ( $L^0 = \cup_i L_i^0$ ).

• (2.12) **Generators:**

- $\gamma_i$ , for each  $i$ ,
- $\lambda_{ij}$ , for  $p_{ij}$  not  $\in L'$ .

In order to get the relations, set, for each  $p_{ij} \in L$ ,

- $\gamma_{ij} = \gamma_j$ , for  $p_{ij} \in L'$ , and
- $\gamma_{ij} = \lambda_{ij} \gamma_j \lambda_{ij}^{-1}$ , for  $p_{ij}$  not in  $L'$ ,

with the above convention that  $\lambda_{ji} = \lambda_{ij}^{-1}$ .

Then we get the

- (2.13) **Local Commutation Relations:**  $[\gamma_i, \gamma_{ij}] = 1$  (for each  $p_{ij} \in L$ ).

To complete the presentation of  $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ , we use several times again the First van Kampen theorem (cf. [dR]), adding  $\Sigma|_{(D_i - L_i)}$  to  $\Sigma$  restricted to  $L^0$ . Note that the  $S^1$ -bundle  $\Sigma_i \rightarrow D_i$  is trivial on  $L_i^0$ , and also on  $D_i - L_i$ .

The corresponding fundamental group is obtained as amalgamation by  $\mathbb{Z}\gamma_i \times \mathbb{Z}\mu_i$  of the free product of the following two groups: the direct product  $\mathbb{F}_{2g_i} \times \mathbb{Z}\gamma_i$  ( $\mathbb{F}_{2g_i}$  = free group in  $2g_i$  generators) and the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}\gamma_i$ .

Here,  $\mu_i$  maps on the one side to the standard relation for the fundamental group  $\Pi_{g_i}$  of a compact curve of genus  $g_i$ , on the other side it maps to  $\gamma_i^{m_i}$ .

Now,  $\mu_i$  is no longer trivial in  $\pi_1(L^0)$ , so we get the following extra

- (2.14) **Generators :**  $a_1(i), b_1(i), \dots, a_{g_i}(i), b_{g_i}(i)$ , for each  $i$ ,
- (2.15) **Main relations:**

$$\prod_{h=1, \dots, g_i} [a_h(i), b_h(i)] = \gamma_i^{-m_i} \prod_j \gamma_{ij}.$$

Moreover, since we have a direct product  $\mathbb{F}_{2g_i} \times \mathbb{Z}\gamma_i$ , we should not forget the obvious relations :

- (2.16) **Global Commutation relations :**  $[a_h(i), \gamma_i] = [\gamma_i, b_h(i)] = 1$ .

### 3. PRESENTATION OF A SIMPLIFIED GROUP

Summarizing the result of the previous section, we have gotten the following finitely presented group  $\Gamma$  with :

**GENERATORS:**

- $\gamma_i$ , for each  $i$ ,
- $\lambda_{ij}$ , for  $p_{ij}$  not  $\in L'$ ,
- $a_1(i), b_1(i), \dots, a_{g_i}(i), b_{g_i}(i)$ , for each  $i$ .

**RELATIONS :**

- $[a_h(i), \gamma_i] = [\gamma_i, b_h(i)] = 1$ , for each  $i, h$
- $\prod_{h=1, \dots, g_i} [a_h(i), b_h(i)] = \gamma_i^{-m_i} \prod_j \gamma_{ij}$  for each  $i$ ,
- $[\gamma_i, \gamma_{ij}] = 1$  (for each  $p_{ij} \in L$ ) and where
  - I)  $\gamma_{ij} = \gamma_j$ , for  $p_{ij} \in L'$ ,
  - II)  $\gamma_{ij} = \lambda_{ij} \gamma_j \lambda_{ij}^{-1}$ , for  $p_{ij}$  not in  $L'$ , and recall also
  - III)  $\lambda_{ji} = \lambda_{ij}^{-1}$ .

**Remark 2.** *The projection  $p : \Sigma \rightarrow D$  induces a surjection of fundamental groups  $\Gamma \rightarrow \pi_1(D)$  with kernel  $\mathcal{K}$  normally generated by the  $\gamma_i$ 's. In fact, setting in the above presentation  $\gamma_i = 1 \ \forall i$ , we get a free product of the fundamental groups  $\pi_1(D_i)$  with the free group generated by the  $\lambda_{ij}$ 's (observe that  $\lambda_{ji} = \lambda_{ij}^{-1}$ , whence the rank of this free group is equal to the first Betti number of  $L$ ).*

**Definition 1.** *The associated **simplified finitely presented group**  $\Gamma'$  is the following group  $\Gamma'$  with :*

*GENERATORS :*

$\gamma_i$  , for each  $i$ ,

$\lambda_{ij}$  , for  $p_{ij}$  not  $\in L'$ ,

$a_i, b_i$ , for each  $i$  such that  $g_i \geq 1$ .

*RELATIONS :*

( Global commutation relations)  $[a_i, \gamma_i] = [\gamma_i, b_i] = 1$ , for each  $i$

( Main relations)  $[a_i, b_i] = \gamma_i^{-m_i} \prod_j \gamma_{ij}$  for each  $i$ ,

(Local commutation relations)  $[\gamma_i, \gamma_{ij}] = 1$  (for each  $p_{ij} \in L$ ) where, as above,  $\gamma_{ij} = \gamma_j$ , for  $p_{ij} \in L'$ , else ( keeping in mind :  $\lambda_{ji} = \lambda_{ij}^{-1}$ )  $\gamma_{ij} = \lambda_{ij} \gamma_j \lambda_{ij}^{-1}$ .

**Remark 3.** *We can restrict ourselves to prove our results for the simplified groups  $\Gamma'$  , which are also obtained from a plumbing procedure, replacing the (smooth) curves of genus  $\geq 2$  by genus 1 curves.*

*In fact, the simplified group  $\Gamma'$  is a homomorphic image of  $\Gamma$  , being obtained by imposing the further relations*

$$a_h(i) = b_h(i) = 1 \text{ , for } h \geq 2.$$

*Thus, if  $\gamma_j$  is nontrivial, respectively of infinite order, in the simplified group  $\Gamma'$  it is so a fortiori in the group  $\Gamma$  . Moreover, observe that our hypotheses only concern the nullity or positivity of the genus of  $D_j$  , and not its precise value.*

*For instance, the minimality of  $D$  in the category of normal crossing divisors amounts to the nonexistence of rational curves with self intersection  $= -1$ , and meeting at most two other curves each in at most one point. Thus, we see easily that the hypothesis i) of B) is still verified for the simplified group, likewise for the hypothesis of A).*

*We may have however that the canonical divisor  $K'$  of the simplified surface could not be nef, since if there is a component  $D_i$  with genus  $\geq 2$  , in the new configuration  $C$  we get a corresponding  $C_i$  with genus 1 and  $K'C_i = -C_i^2 = -D_i^2 = -(2g(D_i) - 2) + KD_i$  , which may become negative.*

The proof of the main theorems follows by a reduction step which we examine in the next section.



## 4. REDUCTION TO THE CASE OF A GRAPH OF RATIONAL CURVES

Recall that we are working in the simplified group.

In the case where we get a component of genus 1, we will be able to simultaneously remove the generators  $a_j, b_j$ , and replace the number  $m_j$  by any arbitrary integer  $n_j$  (in fact, one could say that we can have  $n_j = \infty$ , meaning that the corresponding main relation disappears).

If we can achieve this, certainly the nefness condition on the new configuration will continue to hold. To this purpose, let us fix the index  $j$ , let us write

$$a_j := a, b_j := b, \gamma := \gamma_j,$$

and let us consider the group  $G$  generated by generators

- $\gamma_i$ , for each  $i$ ,
  - $a_i, b_i$ , for the  $i$ 's such that  $g_i \geq 1$ , and  $i \neq j$
- and by relations :
- $[a_i, \gamma_i] = [\gamma_i, b_i] = 1$ , for each  $i \neq j$
  - $[a_i, b_i] = \gamma_i^{-m_i} \prod_h \gamma_{ih}$ , for each  $i \neq j$
  - $[\gamma_i, \gamma_{ih}] = 1$  (for each  $p_{ih} \in L$ ).

The group  $\Gamma$  is obtained from  $G$  by adding generators  $a, b$ , and relations

- $[a, \gamma] = [\gamma, b] = 1$  where  $\gamma := \gamma_j$  is an element of  $G$ ,
- $[a, b] = \gamma^{-m} \prod_h \gamma_{jh}$ .

We may rewrite the last relation simply as

- $[a, b] = \gamma''$ .

Note that, in the group  $G$ ,  $[\gamma, \gamma''] = 1$ , since  $\gamma$  commutes with each  $\gamma_{jh}$ .

We use now:

**Proposition 4.** *Given a group  $G$ , and elements  $\gamma, \gamma'' \in G$  such that  $[\gamma, \gamma''] = 1$ , let  $\Gamma$  be the group obtained as the quotient of the free product of  $G$  with a free group generated by two generators  $a, b$ , by imposing the following relations :*

$$[a, \gamma] = [\gamma, b] = 1, [a, b] = \gamma''.$$

*Then the natural homomorphism of  $G$  into  $\Gamma$  is injective.*

*Proof.* . We consider the quotient group  $\Delta$  of  $\Gamma$  obtained by adding the commutation relations  $[a, \gamma''] = [\gamma'', b] = 1$ . An equivalent way to describe  $\Delta$  is the following.

Let  $H$  be the Heisenberg group generated by generators  $a, b, c$  and with relations  $[a, b] = c, [a, c] = [b, c] = 1$ .  $H$  is a two step nilpotent group with infinite cyclic centre generated by  $c$ , and abelianization free of rank 2. The elements in  $H$  can be uniquely written as words  $a^m b^n c^k$ , where  $k, m, n$  are integers.

Then we can define  $\Delta$  as the quotient of the free product of  $H$  and  $G$ , modulo the relations

$$\gamma'' = c, [a, \gamma] = [\gamma, b] = 1.$$

At this point we are not able to have a unique representation for the elements of  $\Delta$ , but we follow an idea of Guido Zappa.

Namely, we observe that every element of  $\Delta$  can be written as a product

$$h = g_0 a^{m(1)} b^{n(1)} g_1 a^{m(2)} b^{n(2)} \dots g_{r-1} a^{m(r)} b^{n(r)} g_r,$$

where each pair of exponents  $(m(j), n(j))$  is  $\neq (0, 0)$ ,  $g_0, \dots, g_r$  are elements of  $G$  and we can assume that  $g_1, \dots, g_{r-1}$  do not belong to the subgroup  $B$  generated by  $\gamma, \gamma''$  in  $G$ . (whereas  $g_0$  and  $g_r$  could be even trivial).

There remains to see when two such products yield the same element  $h$ . Notice that the condition (\*) that  $g_1, \dots, g_{r-1}$  do not belong to  $B$  follows from the property that  $r$  be minimal.

We claim that  $r$  is uniquely determined, and that the only allowed transformations of the minimal representation are obtained by letting factors  $\gamma, \gamma''$  commute with  $a$ , resp.  $b$ .

More precisely, we claim that we get an equivalent minimal product iff :

- we replace each respective element  $g_i$  ( $= g_1, \dots$  or  $g_{r-1}$ ) multiplying it by an element  $g \in B$ , and correspondingly :
- if  $g_i$  is replaced by  $g_i g$ , then  $g_{i+1}$  is replaced by  $g^{-1} g_{i+1}$ ,
- if  $g_i$  is replaced by  $g g_i$ , then  $g_{i-1}$  is replaced by  $g_{i-1} g^{-1}$

This means that, for each  $i$ , the exponents  $(m(j), n(j))$  are uniquely determined ; moreover, the double coset  $B g_i B$  is uniquely determined, and finally the product  $g_0 \dots g_r$  is uniquely determined. In particular, it follows that our element is in  $G$  iff  $r = 0$ , and in this case the representation is unique, what is precisely the assertion of the proposition.

To establish our claim, let us consider the equivalence classes of the products  $h$  described above. It suffices to show that we have an action of the generators of the group  $\Delta$ , which satisfies the defining relations for  $\Delta$ . This is clear for the elements of the group  $G$ , and also for the generators  $a, b$ , and an easy verification show that the relations are satisfied.

□

**Remark 4.** Notice that, if we fix an integer  $n_j$  and in the group  $G$  we add the relation

$$1 = \gamma_j^{-n_j} \prod_h \gamma_{ih},$$

we have the corresponding fundamental group of the graph of curves where the elliptic curve  $C_j$  with self intersection  $(-m_j)$  has been replaced by a smooth curve  $\cong \mathbb{P}^1$  with self intersection  $(-n_j)$ . We can therefore by induction reduce to the case of a graph of rational curves.

## 5. THE CASE OF A TREE OF SMOOTH RATIONAL CURVES

We have here a presentation with

**GENERATORS:**

$\gamma_i$ , for each  $i$ ,

**RELATIONS :**

- $1 = \gamma_i^{-m_i} \prod_j \gamma_{ij}$  for each  $i$ ,

- $[\gamma_i, \gamma_j] = 1$  (for each  $p_{ij} \in L$ )

We would like first to show the necessity of the nefness hypothesis in Theorem A.

**Example 1.** Consider a diagram of type  $A_n$ , i.e., a linear tree with  $n$  vertices.

Then our group, as we shall shortly see, is generated by  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ , with relations

$$\gamma_1^2 = \gamma_2, \gamma_2^2 = \gamma_1\gamma_3, \gamma_3^2 = \gamma_2\gamma_4, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}^2 = \gamma_{n-2}\gamma_n, \gamma_n^2 = \gamma_{n-1}.$$

Therefore, the group is cyclic, generated by  $\gamma := \gamma_1$ , with  $\gamma_1^{n+1} = 1$ , and we have  $\gamma_i = \gamma_1^i$ .

Let  $n = 4$ , and let us now blow up the central point of intersection between  $C_2$  and  $C_3$ .

We obtain then a new generator  $\gamma'$  (the loop around the exceptional curve) and the relation  $\gamma' = \gamma_2 \cdot \gamma_3$ , but then  $\gamma' = \gamma_2 \cdot \gamma_3 = 1$  !

We have to recall, in the case where we have a tree of rational curves on a complex surface, that the condition that the divisor  $K_S$  is nef reads out as

$$1) D_i^2 \leq -2.$$

If we are on an algebraic surface, the index theorem says that

$$2) \text{ the intersection matrix } (D_i \cdot D_j) \text{ has positivity index } b^+ \leq 1.$$

An easy example where 1) holds but  $b^+ = 1$  is provided by a tree of rational  $(-2)$  curves, where all curves meet a central one (the dual graph is a star).

In fact, then, if  $D_0$  is the central curve, we have

$$(mD_0 + D_1 + \dots + D_n)^2 = 2(-m^2 + mn - n),$$

which is positive for  $1 < m < n - 1$ .

Then the group is generated by  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n, \delta$ , with relations

$$\gamma_i^2 = \delta, \delta^2 = \gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2 \cdots \gamma_n.$$

In this case the Abelianization is the direct sum of cyclic groups of respective orders  $2(n-4), 2, \dots, 2$ , with generators induced by the respective residue classes of  $\gamma_1, \gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_{n-1}$ , whence here our standard generators have even a nontrivial image in the maximal Abelian quotient.

We proceed now to analyse the different cases.

## 5 A : CASE OF A LINEAR TREE OF RATIONAL CURVES

**Lemma 5.** Assume that we have a linear tree of  $n$  smooth rational curves with self intersection  $(-m_i)$ , where  $m_i \geq 2$ .

Then, setting inductively  $a_1 := 1, a_2 := m_1, a_{i+1} := m_i \cdot a_i - a_{i-1}$ , then

- 1)  $a_{i+1} > a_i$ ;
- 2) our group  $\Gamma$  is a cyclic group of order  $a_{n+1}$ , generated by  $\gamma_1$ ;
- 3) the element  $\gamma_i$  equals  $\gamma_1^{a_i}$ , and is not trivial.

*Proof.* We can write our relations among  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n$  as

$$\gamma_1^{m_1} = \gamma_2, \gamma_2^{m_2} = \gamma_1\gamma_3, \dots, \gamma_i^{m_i} = \gamma_{i-1}\gamma_{i+1}, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}} = \gamma_{n-2}\gamma_n, \gamma_n^{m_n} = \gamma_{n-1}.$$

We easily obtain then

$$\gamma_{i+1} = \gamma_{i-1}^{-1} \gamma_i^{m_i} = \gamma_1^{-a_{i-1}} \cdot \gamma_i^{a_i m_i} = \gamma_1^{a_{i+1}},$$

which proves the first part of assertion 3) , and the last relation on the other hand yields  $\gamma_1^{a_{n+1}} = 1$ , which proves assertion 2 .

Notice that

$$a_{i+1} - a_i = m_i \cdot a_i - a_{i-1} - a_i = (m_i - 1) \cdot a_i - a_{i-1} > 0$$

since  $m_i \geq 2$  and since by induction  $a_i > a_{i-1}$ .

Whence, assertion 1) is proved, and simultaneously we have shown that each  $\gamma_i$  is not trivial .

□

**Remark 5.** *The proof of the above lemma shows that in any case the local fundamental group of a tree of rational curves is cyclic, of order  $a_{n+1}$  , if  $a_{n+1}$  is nonzero.*

Assume now that all the numbers  $m_i$  are strictly positive. Then, if  $m_i = 1$ , we obtain  $\gamma_i = \gamma_{i-1} \gamma_{i+1}$ , and since the group is abelian , we may rewrite the relation  $\gamma_{i-1}^{m_{(i-1)}} = \gamma_{i-2} \gamma_i$  as  $\gamma_{i-1}^{m_{(i-1)}-1} = \gamma_{i-2} \gamma_{i+1}$  and similarly  $\gamma_{i+1}^{m_{(i+1)}} = \gamma_i \gamma_{i+2}$  becomes  $\gamma_{i+1}^{m_{(i+1)}-1} = \gamma_{i-1} \gamma_{i+2}$  .

This has the obvious geometrical meaning that we can blow down all the (-1) curves, and then if at the end of the process  $K$  remains nef, our remaining elements  $\gamma_i$  are not trivial.

**Remark 6.** *Assume that we let  $m_i \rightarrow \infty$ . Then also  $a_{i+1} \rightarrow \infty$ , hence  $a_{n+1} \rightarrow \infty$ , whereas  $a_j$  remains constant for  $j \leq i$ . Hence,  $\text{ord}(\gamma_j) \rightarrow \infty$  for  $j \leq i$ . Changing the linear order of the linear tree to its inverse, we see that  $\text{ord}(\gamma_j) \rightarrow \infty$  also for  $j \geq i$ .*

## 5 B : REDUCTION TO THE CASE OF A COMB OF RATIONAL CURVES

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $G_1, G_2$  be groups and let  $a_i$  be nontrivial elements in  $G_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ , such that moreover  $a_2$  has infinite order in  $G_2$  .*

*If  $\Gamma$  is the quotient of the free product  $G_1 * G_2$  by the relation  $a_1 \cdot a_2 = 1$  , then the natural homomorphism of  $G_1$  in  $\Gamma$  is injective. Moreover, if  $a_1$  does not generate  $G_1$  and  $a_2$  does not generate  $G_2$ , then  $\Gamma$  is always an infinite group.*

*Proof.* . The desired claim follows if we show that the elements in  $\Gamma$  are represented by elements of the set  $\mathcal{W}$  of equivalence classes of 'good' words

$$w = g_1(1) \cdot g_2(1) \cdot g_1(2) \cdots g_1(k) \cdot g_2(k) \cdot g_1(k+1),$$

where  $g_2(i)$  does not belong to the subgroup generated by  $a_2$  , for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , and  $g_1(j)$  does not belong to the subgroup generated by  $a_1$  , for  $2 \leq j \leq k$ ,

and  $w$  is equivalent to  $w'$  if and only if the following conditions hold:

1)  $k = k'$

2) there exist integers ( "r" for right, "λ" for left )  $r_1, \lambda_2, r_2, \lambda_3, \dots, r_k, \lambda_{k+1}$ , such that the word  $w'$  equals

$$(g_1(1)a_1^{r_1}) \cdot (a_2^{r_1}g_2(1)a_2^{\lambda_2})(a_1^{\lambda_2}g_1(2)a_1^{r_2}) \cdots (a_1^{\lambda_k}g_1(k)a_1^{r_k}) \cdot (a_2^{r_k}g_2(k)a_2^{\lambda_{k+1}}) \cdot (a_1^{\lambda_{k+1}}g_1(k+1)).$$

We let the elements of  $\Gamma$  operate by left multiplication as follows :

- for  $\gamma_1 \in G_1$  we let  $\gamma_1 w := (\gamma_1 g_1(1)) \cdot g_2(1) \cdot g_1(2) \cdots g_1(k) \cdot g_2(k) \cdot g_1(k+1)$ ,
- for  $\gamma_2 \in G_2$  not in the subgroup generated by  $a_2$  we let
 
$$\gamma_2 w := e_1 \cdot \gamma_2 \cdot g_1(1) \cdot g_2(1) \cdot g_1(2) \cdots g_1(k) \cdot g_2(k) \cdot g_1(k+1),$$
 ( $e_i$  being the identity element of  $G_i$ ) , while we set
  - $a_2^r w := a_1^{-r} w$ .

We obtain a homomorphism of each  $G_i$  into the group  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{W})$  of permutations of  $(\mathcal{W})$ , and moreover the transformation associated to  $a_1 \cdot a_2$  is by definition the identity, whence we get a homomorphism of  $\Gamma$  into  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{W})$ .

Moreover ,  $\Gamma$  acts transitively on  $\mathcal{W}$  . Representing each element of  $\Gamma$  by a good word  $w$ , we see that if  $w$  is the identity this implies that  $k = 0$  , and  $g_1(1) = e_1$ .

Thus the action on  $e_1$  establishes a bijection between  $\Gamma$  and  $\mathcal{W}$ , in particular since the words with  $k = 0$  correspond to the elements of  $G_1$  ,  $G_1$  injects into  $\mathcal{W}$ , whence into  $\Gamma$ . Notice finally that if  $a_2$  generates  $G_2$  then  $G_1$  is isomorphic to  $\Gamma$ , similarly if  $a_1$  generates  $G_1$ .

Whereas, if  $a_i$  does not generate  $G_i$  , then  $k$  can be arbitrarily high, whence  $\Gamma$  is surely infinite. □

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $G_1, \dots, G_r$  be groups and let  $a_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , be a nontrivial element in  $G_i$ . If  $\Gamma$  is the quotient of the free product  $G_1 * G_2 * \cdots * G_r$  by the relation  $a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdots a_r = 1$  , then, for  $r \geq 3$ , the natural homomorphism of  $G_1$  in  $\Gamma$  is injective. Moreover, if  $r \geq 4$ , then the group  $\Gamma$  is infinite.*

*Proof.* . Apply lemma 6 , considering that  $a_2 \cdots a_r$  is an element of infinite order in  $G_2 * \cdots * G_r$  . In the case  $r \geq 4$ , apply the lemma to  $G_1 * G_2$  and  $G_3 * \cdots * G_r$  , taking into consideration that both are infinite and not cyclic. □

With the aid of the foregoing corollary we are able to reduce the proof of our main results to a very special case.

**Proposition 8.** *Let  $\gamma_i$  be one of our generators of the group  $\Gamma$ , in the case where the hypotheses of theorem B are satisfied: then  $\gamma_i$  is nontrivial except possibly if the tree is nonlinear and the curve  $D_i$  is the only one which intersects at least three other irreducible components of  $D$  (we shall then say that the tree is a **comb**, and that  $D_i$  is the rim of the comb).*

*Proof.* . The case where the tree is linear was already dealt with .

So, let us assume that there exists a curve  $D_j$  , with  $i \neq j$  such that  $D_j$  intersects at least three other irreducible components of  $D$  . Let us consider the group  $G$  obtained as the quotient of  $\Gamma$  gotten by setting  $\gamma_j = 1$ .

If  $D - D_j$  (this denotes the difference as divisors, and not as sets) has  $r$  connected components  $D(1), \dots, D(r)$  , we see immediately that  $G$  is the

quotient of the free product  $G_1 * G_2 * \dots * G_r$  by the relation  $a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_r = 1$ , where  $G_h$  is the fundamental group of the boundary of a good tubular neighbourhood of  $D(h)$ , and  $a_h$  is the loop around the unique irreducible component of  $D(h)$  meeting  $D_j$ . By our corollary, and since by induction we may assume that each  $a_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , is nontrivial, we obtain that each  $G_h$  injects into  $G$ , and a fortiori into  $\Gamma$ .

Whence, all elements  $\gamma_i$  with  $i \neq j$  are nontrivial.

□

## 5 C : THE RIM OF A COMB OF RATIONAL CURVES.

Assume that we have a unique curve  $D_j$  such that  $D - D_j$  has  $r \geq 3$  connected components  $D(1), \dots, D(r)$ , each being a chain of smooth rational curves. Set for convenience  $\gamma := \gamma_j$ .

We shall then say as before that we have a COMB with RIM  $D_j$  and with STRINGS  $D(1), \dots, D(r)$ .

Then, for each chain  $D(h)$ , we can order the generators in such a way that we obtain relations

$$\gamma_1^{m_1} = \gamma_2, \gamma_2^{m_2} = \gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_3, \dots, \gamma_i^{m_i} = \gamma_{i-1} \cdot \gamma_{i+1}, \gamma_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}} = \gamma_{n-2} \cdot \gamma_n.$$

Proceeding as in section 5A), we infer that  $\gamma = \gamma_1^{a_n}$ , where  $a_n > 0$  is defined inductively as in 5A).

Finally, letting  $(-m)$  be the self intersection of  $D_j$ , we obtain a relation

$$\gamma^m = \beta_1^{d_1} \cdot \beta_2^{d_2} \cdot \dots \cdot \beta_r^{d_r},$$

where the  $\beta_h$ 's are the loops, for each chain  $D(h)$ , around the end opposite to  $D_j$ .

We are left with the following

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $\Gamma(m, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r)$ , for integers  $m \geq 2$ ,  $b_i > d_i \geq 1$ , be the group generated by*

- i) *generators  $\gamma, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_r$ , and relations*
- ii)  *$\gamma = \beta_1^{b_1} = \beta_2^{b_2} = \dots = \beta_r^{b_r}$  ( recall that the integers  $b_h$  are  $\geq 2$  ), and*
- iii)  *$\gamma^m = \beta_1^{d_1} \cdot \beta_2^{d_2} \cdot \dots \cdot \beta_r^{d_r}$ .*

*Then the ( central ) element  $\gamma$  is nontrivial inside  $\Gamma$  and indeed of infinite order unless we are in the following exceptional cases with  $r = 3$ , and where  $c = 1, 2$ , and  $1 \leq t \leq n - 1$ :*

$$Va) (b_1, b_2, b_3) = (2, 2, n), n \geq 2, (d_1, d_2, d_3) = (1, 1, t)$$

$$Vb) (b_1, b_2, b_3) = (2, 3, n), 3 \leq n \leq 5, (d_1, d_2, d_3) = (1, c, t).$$

*Proof.*

### Step I .

We may assume that G.C.D.  $(b_i, d_i) = 1$  for each  $i$ .

This is a consequence of the following Logical Principle Lemma of Combinatorial Group Theory.

**Lemma 10. (Logical Principle Lemma)**

Let  $G$  be a finitely presented group

$$G = \langle \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_r \mid R_1(\beta) = \dots R_s(\beta) = 1 \rangle.$$

Then, setting  $\beta_1 = \beta^k$ , i.e., taking the new group  $G'' := G * \mathbb{Z} / \langle \langle \beta_1 \beta^{-k} \rangle \rangle$ , we get  $\text{ord}_{G''}(\beta) = k \cdot \text{ord}_G(\beta_1)$ , while, for  $j \geq 2$ ,  $\text{ord}_{G''}(\beta_j) = \text{ord}_G(\beta_j)$ .

*Proof.* The situation is a particular case of lemma 6, with  $a_1 = \beta_1$ , and with  $a_2 = \beta^{-k}$ .

The injectivity of the map  $G \rightarrow G''$  implies the desired assertion.

□(for the logical principle lemma.)

Clearly then we get that, if  $c_i = G.C.D.(b_i, d_i)$  and  $\Delta$  is the group  $\Gamma(m, b_1/c_1, b_2/c_2, \dots, b_r/c_r; d_1/c_1, d_2/c_2, \dots, d_r/c_r)$ , an iterated application of the logical principle yields that the order of  $\gamma$  is the same in  $\Gamma$  and in  $\Delta$ .

**Step II.**

Let  $T := T(m, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r)$  be the quotient of the group  $\Gamma(m, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r)$ , by the central cyclic subgroup  $C(\gamma)$  generated by  $\gamma$ : then by step I  $T$  is isomorphic to the polygonal group  $T(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r)$  with generators  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_r$ , and relations  $\delta_1^{b_1} = \delta_2^{b_2} = \dots = \delta_r^{b_r} = \delta_1 \cdot \delta_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \delta_r = 1$ .

In fact  $T(m, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r)$  is a quotient of the free product of cyclic groups of respective orders  $b_i$  by the relation that be trivial the product  $\beta_1^{d_1} \cdot \beta_2^{d_2} \cdot \dots \cdot \beta_r^{d_r}$ . But, since  $G.C.D.(b_i, d_i) = 1$ , each  $\beta_i^{d_i} := \delta_i$  is a generator of the respective cyclic group.

**Steps III-V .**

We have thus a central extension

$$1 \rightarrow C(\gamma) \rightarrow \Gamma(m, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r) \rightarrow T(b_1, \dots, b_r) \rightarrow 1,$$

where  $C(\gamma)$  is the cyclic central subgroup generated by  $\gamma$ , and the quotient  $T := T(b_1, \dots, b_r)$  is the polygonal group defined above.

Our strategy will consist in proving that either

III) the image of  $\gamma$  is nontrivial in  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homology (i.e., in the Abelianization of  $\Gamma$  tensored with  $\mathbb{Q}$ ), whence a fortiori  $\gamma$  has infinite order in  $\Gamma$ , or

IV)  $H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ : however then, in the nonexceptional cases,  $\Gamma$  differs from  $T$  because it has cohomological dimension 3 instead of 2, and thus in any case  $\gamma$  has infinite order in  $\Gamma$ .

V) treats then the exceptional cases using integral homology and matrix representations.

**Step III.**

The above odd looking alternative is a consequence of the following

**Proposition 11.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be the above group  $\Gamma(m, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r)$ . Then the image of  $\gamma$  in  $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$  is a generator, and it is nonzero if and only if  $m \neq \sum_i (d_i/b_i)$ .*

*Proof.* . Let  $[\gamma], [\beta_i]$  be the respective images of  $\gamma, \beta_i$ , inside  $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$ . Then they generate it and there are only the relations

$$[\beta_i] = (1/b_i)[\gamma], \text{ and } (m - \sum_i (d_i/b_i))[\gamma] = 0.$$

Whence,  $[\gamma]$  generates  $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$  if and only if  $m = \sum_i (d_i/b_i)$ .  $\square$

#### Step IV.

Assume then that  $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ , and observe that, because of our plumbing construction,  $\Gamma$  is the fundamental group of an orientable 3-manifold  $M := \Sigma$ . In particular,  $H_1(M, \mathbb{Q}) = H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ , and by Poincaré Duality and ordinary duality  $H^1(M, \mathbb{Q}) = H^2(M, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ , while  $H^3(M, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $N$  be the universal covering of  $M$ : then we have a spectral sequence  $H^p(\Gamma, H^q(N, \mathbb{Q}))$  converging to the graded module associated to a suitable filtration of  $H^{p+q}(M, \mathbb{Q})$ , for each ring  $\mathbb{Q}$  ( $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Q}$  in our application).

Clearly,  $H^1(N, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ , hence  $H^2(M, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$  implies  $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ .

We can moreover apply ( cf. [Wei94] 6.8.2.) the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence associated to the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow C(\gamma) \rightarrow \Gamma := \Gamma(m, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r; d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r) \rightarrow T \rightarrow 1,$$

whose  $E_2$  term is  $H^p(T, H^q(C(\gamma), \mathbb{Q}))$  and which converges to a graded quotient of  $H^{p+q}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$ .

Now, if  $\gamma$  had finite order, then  $H^i(C(\gamma), \mathbb{Q}) = 0$  for each  $i \geq 1$ , whence  $H^i(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}) = H^i(T, \mathbb{Q})$  for each  $i \geq 0$ .

We get therefore an obvious contradiction in the case where  $H^2(T, \mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$ .

Observe that the polygonal group  $T$  is a quotient of the group  $\Pi$  with generators  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_r$ , and with relation  $\beta_1 \cdot \beta_2 \cdots \beta_r = 1$ .  $\Pi$  is the fundamental group of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$  minus  $r$  points, and  $T$  is the orbifold fundamental group of the maximal Galois cover  $C$  of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  branched in these points with respective ramification multiplicities exactly equal to  $b_1 - 1, b_2 - 1, \dots, b_r - 1$ .

If  $T$  is infinite, then  $C$  is not compact, otherwise  $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ , by the Riemann mapping theorem. Whence if  $T$  is infinite,  $H^2(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q} \cong H^2(T, \mathbb{Q})$  and we have found the required contradiction.

Otherwise,  $T$  is finite, and  $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  has a finite degree  $d$ . As well known, by the formula of Hurwitz, then  $2 - 2/d = \sum_i (1 - 1/b_i)$  which implies that  $r \leq 3$ , and since  $r \geq 3$  we get  $r = 3$  and  $\sum_i (1 - 1/b_i) > 1$ , an inequality which leads us to the exceptional cases for  $(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ , corresponding to the Platonic solids and to the Klein groups

$$\text{Va) } (2, 2, n), n \geq 2, (d = 2n), (d_1, d_2, d_3) = (1, 1, t)$$

$$\text{Vb) } (2, 3, n), 3 \leq n \leq 5 (d = 12, 24, 60), (d_1, d_2, d_3) = (1, c, t)$$

$$(\text{here } c = 1, 2 \text{ and } 1 \leq t \leq n - 1).$$

#### Step Va.

Assume we are in the exceptional case a): in this case we shall explicitly prove that the group  $\Gamma$  is finite, find a faithful matrix representation, and find that the period of  $\gamma$  equals exactly  $2p$ , where  $p := (m - 1)n - t$ . Thus, the order of  $\gamma$  is always  $\geq 2$ .



In fact, we can change the presentation of the group, eliminating  $\gamma = \beta_3^{b_3} = \beta_3^n$  and obtaining the relation  $\beta_3^{mn-t} = \beta_1 \cdot \beta_2$ .

Then,  $\beta_1 \cdot \beta_2 = \beta_3^{mn-t} = \beta_1^2 \cdot \beta_3^p$ , whence  $\beta_2 = \beta_1 \cdot \beta_3^p$ .

Setting for simplicity  $a := \beta_1, b := \beta_3$ , we get the presentation

$$\Gamma = \langle a, b | a^2 = b^n = a \cdot b^p \cdot a \cdot b^p \rangle.$$

Since  $a^2 = a \cdot b^p \cdot a \cdot b^p$ , we get  $b^{-p} = ab^p a^{-1}$ , whence  $b^{-pn} = ab^{pn} a^{-1}$  and since  $a$  commutes with  $b^n = a^2$ , finally that  $b^{-pn} = b^{pn}$ , i.e.,  $b^{2pn} = 1 = a^{4p}$ .

It follows that the order of the group  $\Gamma$  is at most  $4pn$ , and that equality holds if the period of  $a$  is exactly equal to  $4p$ .

In order to show that the period of  $a$  is exactly equal to  $4p$  we use the following representation  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(a) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \zeta_{4p} \\ \zeta_{4p} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \\ \rho(b) &= \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_{2np} & 0 \\ 0 & u \zeta_{2np}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

where  $\zeta_h$  is  $:= \exp(2\pi i/h)$ , and  $u$  is a  $p$ -th root of 1 such that  $u^n = \zeta_p$  (recall that, since we assumed  $G.C.D. (n, t) = 1$ , also  $G.C.D. (p, n) = 1$ ).

One can indeed verify that  $\rho(a^2) = \rho(b^n) = \rho((a \cdot b^p)^2) = \zeta_{2p} \cdot Id$ , as claimed.  $\square$

### Step Vb.

Assume that we are in the exceptional case b).

In this case, we shall first try to show that the image of  $\gamma$  in the abelianization  $G$  of  $\Gamma$  is nontrivial.

Eliminating  $\gamma$  we get  $\beta_1 = \beta_3^{mn-t} \beta_2^{-c}$ , thus  $\Gamma$  is generated by  $a := \beta_2, b := \beta_3$ , with relations

$$a^3 = b^n = b^{p+n} a^{-c} b^{p+n} a^{-c},$$

where  $p := n(m-1) - t$ , as above.

Letting  $A, B$ , be the respective images of  $a, b$ , in the abelianization of  $\Gamma$ , we obtain:

$$3A - nB = 0, 2cA = (2p + n)B.$$

Since  $3 - 2c = \pm 1$  ( according to the respective cases  $c = 1, c = 2$ ), we get the relation  $\pm A + 2pB = 0$ , thus  $G$  is cyclic with generator  $B$ .

Moreover, the relation  $nB = 3A = -(\pm 6pB)$  shows that  $B$  has period  $f := n \pm 6p$ .

Now, if  $m \geq 2$ , then  $p > 0$ , thus if  $c = 1$  then  $f > n$ , whence  $nB \neq 0$ , as we wanted to show.

If instead  $m \geq 2, c = 2$ , the absolute value of the period equals  $6p - n = n[6(m-1) - 1] = 6t$ , which is clearly  $> n$  as soon as  $m \geq 3$ .

If instead  $m = 2$ , the absolute value of the period is  $> n$  iff  $4n > 6t$ , which holds unless  $\frac{2}{3}n \leq t \leq (n-1)$ , i.e., unless  $t = n-1$ .

But in this case one has  $f = 5n - 6(n-1) = 6 - n$ , thus  $nB = 0$  since  $6 - n$  divides  $n$ .

Similarly, if  $m = 1, p = -t$ , we have  $f = \pm 6t - n$ , and  $nB \neq 0$  if  $c = 2$ , whereas if  $c = 1$  we can reach this conclusion only if  $n$  is not a multiple of  $6t - n$ .

This condition then holds unless  $t = 1$ , and  $n = 3, 4, 5$ .

We are left then with two cases to consider, the first where  $c = 2$ , the second where  $c = 1$ . For the latter case, we use directly a result which goes back essentially to Felix Klein ([Klein]), and is clearly stated by Milnor in [Mil75]:

Given a triangle group  $T := T(1, b_1, b_2, b_3; 1, 1, 1)$  which is elliptic, i.e., such that  $\sum_i \frac{1}{b_i} > 1$ , then its inverse image  $\hat{T}$  in  $SU(2, \mathbb{C})$  has the presentation

$$\hat{T} = \langle \gamma, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 | \gamma = \beta_1^{b_1} = \beta_2^{b_2} = \beta_3^{b_3} = \beta_1 \cdot \beta_2 \cdot \beta_3 \rangle.$$

It follows that  $\hat{T}$  is isomorphic to our group  $\Gamma$ , thus we have a nontrivial central extension of  $T$  by the central element  $\gamma$  of order two.

In the former case, we have the following presentation for  $\Gamma$

$$\Gamma = \langle \gamma, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3 | \gamma = \delta_1^2 = \delta_2^3 = \delta_3^n, \gamma^2 = \delta_1 \cdot \delta_2 \cdot \delta_3^{n-1} \rangle.$$

Again here we use the extended triangle group  $\hat{T}$ , setting

$$\delta_1 := \beta_1, \delta_2 := \beta_2, \delta_3 := \beta_3^{-1}.$$

Then we see that we get a homomorphic image of  $\Gamma$ , where  $\gamma$  maps onto an element of order 2 (that we still denote by  $\gamma$ ).

We are finished with Vb).

□

## 6. PROOFS OF THE MAIN THEOREMS

*Proof. of Theorem A* By remark 3 we may replace  $\Gamma$  by its homomorphic image given by the simplified group. I.e., we may assume  $g_i = 1$  or  $= 0$ .

If  $g_i \geq 1$ , by remark 4, we may again take a homomorphic image of  $\Gamma$  corresponding to changing  $g_i$  to 0, and to changing  $m_i$  making it arbitrarily high (i.e., making the self-intersection extremely negative).

Thus we may assume that we have a tree of rational curves, where  $-m_i \leq -2$ ,  $\forall i$ .

If the tree is linear, the statement follows by lemma 5.

If we have a comb of rational curves, and  $\gamma_i$  corresponds to the rim of the comb, then the nontriviality of  $\gamma_i$  follows by theorem 9 and by the subsequent Steps III, IV, V; else, it follows by proposition 8.

The remaining cases are taken care of, again by proposition 8.

□

*Proof. of Theorem B*

Observe that if ii-1) holds, and  $g_i = 0$ , then if  $D'_i$  is a curve we have  $K_{S'} \cdot D'_i \geq 0$ , hence also  $K_S \cdot D_i \geq 0$ .

Thus we see that all the curves  $D_i$  with  $g_i = 0$  have self-intersection  $D_i^2 = -m_i \leq -1$ , therefore assumption ii-1) implies assumption ii-2) and we proceed with assumption ii-2), without forgetting the other assumption of minimality in the GNC category. This implies that if  $g_i = 0$  and  $D_i^2 = -1$ , then  $D_i$  meets at least three other components.

We can then use exactly the same strategy used for theorem A, since the case of a linear tree follows automatically, and curves with self-intersection  $-1$  occur only as rims, and in this case the possibility  $m = 1$  is contemplated in theorem 9 and in the subsequent Steps III, IV, V.

□

*Proof. of Theorem C*

We follow again the strategy of proof of theorem A.

If we have a linear tree, and there is a curve of positive genus, then we may conclude that each  $\gamma_i$  has infinite order by remark 6.

If we have a comb, then we know by theorem 9 that the generator  $\gamma$  corresponding to the rim has infinite order, if we are not in the exceptional cases Va), Vb). Let moreover  $\gamma_i$  belong, say, to the string  $D(1)$ .

Then we have shown in 5A (cf. lemma 5) that  $\gamma = \gamma_1^{a_n}$ , and  $\gamma_i = \gamma_1^{a_i}$ , where  $1 \leq a_i \leq a_n$ .

Hence, also  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_i$  have infinite order in the nonexceptional cases.

Similarly we are done if we have a comb and there is a curve  $D_i$  of positive genus, since we may then reduce to the case where all the genera are 0, but  $m_i$  is arbitrary, hence we are not in the exceptional cases.

So our statement is proven for elementary infinite pieces, and the rest follows easily by induction, since we may apply lemma 6 and corollary 7.

□

**Note .** When I presented these results at the AMS Meeting in NY, november 3-5 2000, Walter Neumann mentioned that our presentation of the local fundamental group of neighbourhoods of divisors in complex surfaces is similar to the method of [Neu81] of solid tori decompositions for 3-manifolds (in turn based on the methods earlier introduced by Waldhausen ([Wald67], [Wald68]), who studied the problem whether such manifolds would be determined by their fundamental group.

We would also like to mention that Wagreich ([Wag71]) and Karras ([Kar75]) determined the cases where  $D$  comes from a singularity and the group  $\Gamma$  is solvable.

**Acknowledgements.** I would like to express my indebtedness to Guido Zappa, for a precious suggestion and for the letters exchange I had with him, and also to Nick Shepherd-Barron, for another precious suggestion that came out during our train conversation, in june 98 near Oberwolfach. Thanks to Igor Dolgachev for kindly pointing out the relevance of reference [Mil75].

## REFERENCES

- [BPV84] W. Barth, C. Peters and A. Van de Ven, **Compact Complex Surfaces**, Springer-Verlag, 1984.

- [Cat84] F. Catanese, *On the Moduli Spaces of Surfaces of General Type*, **J. Diff. Geom** **19** (1984), 483–515.
- [Cat91] F. Catanese, *Moduli and classification of irregular Kähler manifolds (and algebraic varieties) with Albanese general type fibrations*, Appendix by Arnaud Beauville, **Inv. Math.** **104** (1991), 263–289; Appendix 289 .
- [Cat00] F. Catanese, *Fibred surfaces, varieties isogenous to a product and related moduli spaces*. **Amer. J. Math.** **122** (2000), no. 1, 1–44.
- [Cat03] F. Catanese, “*Fibred Kähler and quasi-projective groups*”. **Adv. Geom.** **2003**, **Spec. Issue** (2003), 13–27.
- [DeRham69] G. De Rham, “*Lectures on introduction to algebraic topology*”. Notes by V. J. Lal. **Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics**, No. **44**. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, ( 1969) iii+92 pp.
- [Kar75] U. Karras, *Klassifikation 2-dimensionaler Singularitäten mit auflösbaren lokalen Fundamentalgruppen*. **Math. Ann.** **213** (1975), 231–255.
- [Klein] F. Klein, *Lectures on the icosahedron and the solution of equations of the fifth degree*. Translated into English by George Gavin Morrice. **Dover Publications, Inc., New York, N.Y.** (1956) xvi+289 pp.
- [Kot99] D. Kotschick, *On regularly fibered complex surfaces*. Proceedings of the Kirbyfest (Berkeley, CA, 1998) **Geom. Topol. Monogr.**, **2**, **Geom. Topol. Publ.**, **Coventry** (1999), (electronic) 291–298 .
- [Lip69] J. Lipman, *Rational singularities, with applications to algebraic surfaces and unique factorization*. **Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No.** **36** (1969), 195–279.
- [Mil75] J. Milnor, *On the 3-dimensional Brieskorn manifolds  $M(p, q, r)$*  Knots, groups, and 3-manifolds, **Annals of math. Studies**, **84** , **Princeton University Press** (1975) 175–225.
- [Mu61] D. Mumford, *The topology of normal singularities of an algebraic surface and a criterion for simplicity*. **Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No.** **9** (1961), 5–22.
- [Neu81] W.D. Neumann, *A calculus for plumbing applied to the topology of complex surface singularities and degenerating complex curves*. **Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.** **268** , no. **2**, (1981), 299–344.
- [S-B99] N. Shepherd-Barron, *Mumford’s theorem on surface singularities*. E-mail to the author, april 9, 1999.
- [Wag71] P. Wagreich, *Singularities of complex surfaces with solvable local fundamental group*. **Topology** **11** (1971), 51–72.
- [Wald67] F. Waldhausen, *Eine Klasse von 3-dimensionalen Mannigfaltigkeiten. I, II*. **Invent. Math.** **3** (1967), 308–333; **ibid.** **4** (1967), 87–117.
- [Wald68] F. Waldhausen, *On irreducible 3-manifolds which are sufficiently large*. **Ann. of Math.** **(2)** **87** (1968), 56–88.
- [Wei94] C. A. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*. **Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics**, **38**. **Cambridge University Press, Cambridge**, ) 1994), xiv+450 pp.
- [Zap98-9] G. Zappa, *Letters to the author*, june 24, 1998, may 22, 1999, june 4, 1999.

### Author’s address:

Prof. Fabrizio Catanese  
 Lehrstuhl Mathematik VIII  
 Mathematisches Institut  
 NW II, Zi. 730,  
 Universität Bayreuth  
 D- 95448 BAYREUTH, GERMANY  
 e-mail: Fabrizio.Catanese@uni-bayreuth.de